arXiv:1407.0242v3 [math.CO] 10 Dec 2015

HEAPS AND TWO EXPONENTIAL STRUCTURES

EMMA YU JIN†

Abstract. Take $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \ldots)$ to be an exponential structure and $M(n)$ to be the number of minimal elements of Q_n where $M(0) = 1$. Then a sequence of numbers $\{r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is defined by the equation

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)\frac{z^n}{n!\,M(n)} = -\log(\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n\frac{z^n}{n!\,M(n)}).$$

Let $\bar{\mathsf{Q}}_n$ denote the poset Q_n with a $\hat{0}$ adjoined and let $\hat{1}$ denote the unique maximal element in the poset Q_n. Furthermore, let μ_{Q_n} be the Möbius function on the poset $\bar{\mathsf{Q}}_n$. Stanley proved that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n) = (-1)^n\mu_{\mathsf{Q}_n}(\hat{0}, \hat{1})$. This implies that the numbers $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)$ are integers. In this paper, we study the cases $\mathsf{Q}_n = \Pi_n^{(r)}$ and $\mathsf{Q}_n = \mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$ where $\Pi_n^{(r)}$ and $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$ are posets, respectively, of set partitions of $[rn]$ whose block sizes are divisible by r and of r-partitions of $[n]$. In both cases we prove that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ enumerate the pyramids by applying the Cartier-Foata monoid identity and further prove that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ is the generalized Euler number E_{rn-1} and that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})$ is the number of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$ by bijections. This gives a new proof of Welker's theorem that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)}) = E_{rn-1}$ and implies the construction of r-dimensional complete non-ambiguous trees. As a bonus of applying the theory of heaps, we establish a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous forests and the set of pairs of permutations with no common rise. This answers an open question raised by Aval *et al.*.

1. Introduction

We denote by Π_n the poset of all the set partitions of $[n]$ ordered by refinement, that is, define $\sigma \leq \pi$ if every block of σ is contained in a block of π. Let $\rho \in \Pi_n$ be the minimal element of Π_n, i.e., $\rho = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}$. Consider an interval $[\sigma, \pi]$ in the poset Π_n and suppose $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k\}$ and B_i is partitioned into λ_i blocks in σ. Then we have $[\sigma, \pi] \cong \Pi_{\lambda_1} \times \Pi_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times \Pi_{\lambda_k}$. For the particular case $\sigma = \rho$, we have $[\rho, \pi] \cong \Pi_{|B_1|} \times \Pi_{|B_2|} \times \cdots \times \Pi_{|B_k|}$. If we set $a_j = |\{i : \lambda_i = j\}|$ for every j, then we can rewrite

$$[\sigma, \pi] \cong \Pi_1^{a_1} \times \Pi_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \Pi_n^{a_n}. \tag{1.1}$$

The poset Π_n of set partitions is the archetype of exponential structures. The concept of exponential structure was introduced by Stanley as a generalization of compositional and exponential formulas [6, 7, 3]. An *exponential structure* is a sequence $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \ldots)$ of posets such that:

(1) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, the poset Q_n is finite, has a unique maximal element $\hat{1}$ and every maximal chain of Q_n has n elements.
(2) for $\pi \in \mathsf{Q}_n$, the interval $[\pi, \hat{1}]$ is isomorphic to the poset Π_k of set partitions for some k.
(3) the subposet $\Lambda_\pi = \{\sigma \in \mathsf{Q}_n : \sigma \leq \pi\}$ of Q_n is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Q}_1^{a_1} \times \mathsf{Q}_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \mathsf{Q}_n^{a_n}$ for unique $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Suppose $\pi \in \mathsf{Q}_n$ and ρ is a minimal element of Q_n satisfying $\rho \leq \pi$. By (1) and (2), we obtain that $[\rho, \hat{1}] \cong \Pi_n$. It follows from (1.1) that $[\rho, \pi] \cong \Pi_1^{a_1} \times \Pi_2^{a_2} \times \cdots \times \Pi_n^{a_n}$ for unique $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\sum_i i a_i = n$ and $\sum_i a_i = |\pi|$. In particular, if ρ_1 is another minimal element of Q_n satisfying $\rho_1 \leq \pi$, then we have $[\rho_1, \pi] \cong [\rho, \pi]$.

† Corresponding author email: jin@cs.uni-kl.de; yu.jin@tuwien.ac.at. The author is supported by the German Research Foundation DFG, JI 207/1-1 and the Austrian Research Fund FWF, project SFB F50 Algorithmic and Enumerative Combinatorics.

We will define the numbers $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)$ associated with an exponential structure $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \ldots)$ in the following way. Let $M(n)$ be the number of minimal elements of Q_n for $n \geq 1$ and set $M(0) = 1$. Then a sequence of numbers $\{r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)\}_{n\geq 1}$ is defined by the equation

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)\frac{z^n}{n!\,M(n)} = -\log(\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n\frac{z^n}{n!\,M(n)}). \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\bar{\mathsf{Q}}_n$ denote the poset Q_n with a $\hat{0}$ adjoined and let $\hat{1}$ denote the unique maximal element in the poset Q_n. Furthermore, let μ_{Q_n} be the Möbius function on the poset $\bar{\mathsf{Q}}_n$. Then from Chapter 5.5 of [7], we know

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \mu_{\mathsf{Q}_n}(\hat{0},\hat{1})\frac{z^n}{n!M(n)} = -\log(\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{z^n}{n!M(n)}), \tag{1.3}$$

and thus, $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n) = (-1)^n\mu_{\mathsf{Q}_n}(\hat{0},\hat{1})$. This implies that the numbers $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n)$ are integers for any exponential structure $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \ldots)$. In the case $\mathsf{Q}_n = \Pi_n$, the number $M(n)$ of minimal elements in the poset Π_n is 1. It follows immediately from (1.3) and (1.2) that $r_n(\Pi_n) = \mu_{\Pi_n}(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$ and $r_1(\Pi_1) = -\mu_{\Pi_1}(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = 1$. There are three other examples of exponential structures $\mathsf{Q} = (\mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \ldots)$ in [6, 7].

(1) $\mathsf{Q}_n = \mathsf{Q}_n(q)$ which is the poset of direct sum decompositions of the n-dimensional vector space $V_n(q)$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $V_n(q)$ be an n-dimensional vector space over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mathsf{Q}_n(q)$ consist of all the collections $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_k\}$ of subspaces of $V_n(q)$ such that $\dim W_i > 0$ for all i, and such that $V_n(q) = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k$ (direct sum). An element of $\mathsf{Q}_n(q)$ is called a *direct sum decomposition* of $V_n(q)$. We order $\mathsf{Q}_n(q)$ by refinement, i.e., $\{W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_k\} \leq \{W'_1, W'_2, \ldots, W'_j\}$ if each W_r is contained in some W'_s.
(2) $\mathsf{Q}_n = \Pi_n^{(r)}$ which is the poset of set partitions of $[rn]$ whose block sizes are divisible by r.
(3) $\mathsf{Q}_n = \mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$ which is the poset of r-partitions of $[n]$. The definition of r-partition will be given in Section 4.

The poset $\mathsf{Q}_n(q)$ had been studied by Welker [10] who used the theory of free monoid to give an expression of the Möbius function $\mu_{\mathsf{Q}_n(q)}(\hat{0},\hat{1})$; see Theorem 4.4 in [10].

Here we prove that the number $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ is the generalized Euler number E_{rn-1} and $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})$ is the number of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$. First, we show that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ enumerate the pyramids by applying the Cartier-Foata monoid identity on the respective posets $\Pi_n^{(r)}$ and $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$. Secondly, we build a bijection between the set of the pyramids counted by $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and the set of permutations of $[rn-1]$ with descent set $\{r, 2r, \ldots, rn-r\}$. This gives a new proof of Welker's theorem that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)}) = E_{rn-1}$. Welker [10] proved that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)}) = |\mu_{\Pi_n^{(r)}}(\hat{0},\hat{1})| = E_{rn-1}$ by counting the number of descending chains in the chain lexicographic shellable poset $\Pi_n^{(r)}$. Thirdly, we establish a bijection between the set of the pyramids counted by $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})$ and the set of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$. This implies the construction of r-dimensional complete non-ambiguous trees. As a bonus of applying the theory of heaps, we provide a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous forests and the set of pairs of permutations with no common rise. This answers an open question raised by Aval *et al.*.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the terms heap, pyramid and the Cartier-Foata identity. In sections 3 and 4, we prove our main results. That is, that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ is the generalized Euler numbers E_{rn-1} and $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})$ is the number of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$. In subsection 4.2, we establish a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous forests and the set of pairs of permutations with no common rise.

2. Heap, Monoid and the Cartier-Foata identity

The theory of heaps was introduced by Viennot to interpret the elements of the Cartier-Foata monoid in a geometric manner; see [8, 5, 9]. Here we will adopt the notations from [8, 5]. Let $\mathcal{B}$

be a set of pieces with a symmetric and reflexive binary relation $\mathcal{R}$, i.e., we have $a\mathcal{R}b \Leftrightarrow b\mathcal{R}a$ and $a\mathcal{R}a$ for every $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$. We will define a *heap* as follows:

Definition 2.1. A *heap* is a triple $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ where $(P, \leq)$ is a finite poset and ε is a map $\varepsilon : P \mapsto \mathcal{B}$ satisfying:

(1) for every $x, y \in P$, if $\varepsilon(x)\mathcal{R}\varepsilon(y)$, then x and y are comparable, i.e., $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.
(2) for every $x, y \in P$, if y covers x (i.e., $x \leq y$ and for any z such that $x \leq z \leq y$, $z = x$ or $z = y$), then $\varepsilon(x)\mathcal{R}\varepsilon(y)$.

We represent the heap $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ by the Hasse diagram of the poset $(P, \leq)$ where every element x is labelled by $\varepsilon(x)$, and we will give an example of heap from [8].

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ be a set of integers with a binary relation $\mathcal{R}_1$ defined by: $i\mathcal{R}_1 j$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$ for every $i, j \in \mathcal{B}_1$. The poset $(P_1, \leq)$ is defined by its Hasse diagram in Figure 2.1 and the map $\varepsilon : P_1 \mapsto \mathcal{B}_1$ is defined as such: if $\alpha \in P_1$ lies on the vertical line $x = i$, then $\varepsilon(\alpha) = i$. See Figure 2.1. It is clear that $(P_1, \leq, \varepsilon)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2) in Definition 2.1.

Remark 1. A heap $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ is equivalent to the directed graph given as we will now state. Let $G(P)$ be the graph endowed with an orientation γ whose vertex set is P and such that there is an oriented edge from x to y if and only if $y < x$ in the poset P. Since $\leq$ is an order relation in the poset $(P, \leq)$, γ is acyclic. Then the heap $(P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ is equivalent to the directed graph $(G(P), \gamma)$.



FIGURE 2.1. The poset $(P_1, \leq)$ (left) and the heap $H_1 = (P_1, \leq, \varepsilon)$ of integers (right).

We denote $H = \varnothing$ if the heap H is empty. To clarify our subsequent discussion on the set of heaps, we need to first review some definitions.

Definition 2.2. A *monoid* S is a set that is closed under an associative binary operation $\circ$ and has an identity element $\mathrm{id} \in S$ such that for all $s \in S$, we have $\mathrm{id} \circ s = s \circ \mathrm{id} = s$.

Definition 2.3. The *transitive closure* of a binary relation $\mathcal{R}$ on a set X is the transitive relation $\mathcal{R}^*$ on the set X such that $\mathcal{R}^*$ is minimal and contains $\mathcal{R}$.

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ be the set of heaps $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ given in Definition 2.1, in particular, include $\varnothing \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$. Then the set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ of heaps can be equipped with a monoid structure as follows:

Definition 2.4. Suppose $H_1 = (P_1, \leq_1, \varepsilon_1)$, $H_2 = (P_2, \leq_2, \varepsilon_2) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$, and the composition $H_1 \circ H_2 = (P_3, \leq_3, \varepsilon_3)$ is the heap defined by the following:

(1) P_3 is the disjoint union of P_1 and P_2.
(2) ε_3 is the unique map $\varepsilon_3 : P_3 \mapsto \mathcal{B}$ such that $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_i$ if we restrict P_3 to P_i for $i = 1, 2$.
(3) The partial order ε_3 is the transitive closure of the following relation $\mathcal{R}^*$. For $x, y \in P_3$, $x\mathcal{R}^* y$ if and only if one of $(a), (b), (c)$ is satisfied.
   (a) $x \leq_1 y$ and $x, y \in P_1$
   (b) $x \leq_2 y$ and $x, y \in P_2$
   (c) $x \in P_1, y \in P_2$ and $\varepsilon_1(x)\mathcal{R}\varepsilon_2(y)$.

Simply put, the heap $H_1 \circ H_2$ is obtained by putting the pieces in H_2 on top of H_1 in its Hasse diagram representation. See Figure 2.2. By Definition 2.4, the set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ is closed under the



FIGURE 2.2. The heap H_2 of integers (left) and the composition $H_1 \circ H_2$ (right) where H_1 is given in Figure 2.1.

associative composition $\circ$ and the identity element id is the empty heap $\varnothing$ from which it follows that the set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ is a monoid. The size of a heap H, denoted by $|H|$, is the number of pieces in the heap H.

Definition 2.5. A *trivial heap* T is a heap consisting of pieces that are pairwise unrelated, i.e., $\varepsilon(x) \not\mathcal{R}\ \varepsilon(y)$ for every distinct x, y in T.

Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ be the set of trivial heaps contained in the set $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})]]$ be the ring of formal power series in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ with coefficients in the commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}$. Then the Cartier-Foata identity in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})]]$ is

$$\sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}) \\ T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})}} (-1)^{|T|} \cdot (T \circ H) = \varnothing. \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.6. Consider the set of letters $S = \{x_a : a \in \mathcal{B}\}$ and the set

$$\mathsf{R} = \{x_a x_b = x_b x_a, \text{ for } a, b \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } a \not\mathcal{R}\ b\}$$

of relations. Then the *Cartier-Foata monoid* is the set $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ of equivalent classes of words of S subject to the relations in R.

In other words, two words are equivalent if we can transform one into another by applying successive relations of R. The Cartier-Foata monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to the monoid $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$. Here we only show the isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ without the proof. We refer the readers to the Proposition 3.4 in [8] for a detailed proof that φ is an isomorphism.

Given a heap $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ of size n, let $\sigma : P \mapsto [n]$ be a bijection such that $\sigma(a) \leq \sigma(b)$ for every $a, b \in P$ where $a \leq b$, and define a word $w_\sigma = x_{m_1} x_{m_2} \cdots x_{m_n}$ where $m_i = \varepsilon(\sigma^{-1}(i)) \in \mathcal{B}$. Here we call the bijection σ a *natural labeling* (sometimes also called a *linear extension*) of the poset $(P, \leq)$. For any two different natural labelings σ, θ of the poset $(P, \leq)$, it was proven in [8] that $w_\sigma = w_\theta$ in the monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$. In view of this, we set $\varphi(H) = w_\sigma$ and, thus, $\varphi(H)$ is well-defined.

In particular, we have $\varphi(\varnothing) = 1$ and, for any two heaps $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$, we have $\varphi(H_1 \circ H_2) = \varphi(H_1) \cdot \varphi(H_2)$. Namely, under the isomorphism φ, the empty heap $\varnothing$ corresponds to the identity word 1 and the composition of two heaps $H_1 \circ H_2$ corresponds to the product of two words $\varphi(H_1)$ and $\varphi(H_2)$ by juxtaposition.

Example 2. We continue using the heap $H_1 = (P_1, \leq, \varepsilon)$ in Example 1 to show the isomorphism φ. We start labeling the minimal elements of $(P_1, \leq)$ with integers $1, 2, \ldots$ from left to right, then we remove these minimal elements from the poset $(P_1, \leq)$ and label the minimal elements of the remaining poset by increasing integers from left to right. We continue this process until all the elements in the poset $(P_1, \leq)$ are labeled. It is clear this labeling process is a natural labeling of the poset $(P_1, \leq)$ which gives us the corresponding word $\varphi(H_1) = x_2 x_1 x_3 x_2 x_4 x_4 x_3 x_5 x_3$ in the monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{R}_1)$. Similarly, we get the corresponding words for the heaps H_2 and $H_1 \circ H_2$ in Figure 2.2,

which are $\varphi(H_2) = x_0x_2x_4x_2x_4x_3x_5$ and $\varphi(H_1 \circ H_2) = x_2x_1x_3x_0x_2x_4x_4x_3x_5x_3x_2x_4x_2x_4x_3x_5$. It is easy to verify that $\varphi(H_1 \circ H_2) = \varphi(H_1) \cdot \varphi(H_2)$ because x_0 commutes with every x_i for $i \geq 2$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})]]$ be the ring of formal power series in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ with coefficients in the commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}$. Then we can express (2.1) in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})]]$ as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R}) \\ T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})}} (-1)^{|T|} \cdot (\varphi(T) \cdot \varphi(H)) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 2.7. A *pyramid* is a heap with exactly one maximal element.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ denote the set of pyramids consisting of pieces in $\mathcal{B}$. Then, according to the exponential formula for the unlabeled combinatorial objects [7],

$$\sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})} \varphi(H) =_{\text{comm}} \exp\Big(\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})} \frac{\varphi(P)}{|P|}\Big). \tag{2.3}$$

where $=_{\text{comm}}$ means the identity holds in the commutative extension of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$, that is, in the commutative monoid which arises from $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{R})$ by letting all pieces in $\mathcal{B}$ commute. In combination of (2.2), it follows that

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})} \frac{\varphi(P)}{|P|} =_{\text{comm}} -\log\Big(\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{R})} (-1)^{|T|}\varphi(T)\Big). \tag{2.4}$$

We will mainly use (2.4) to connect the numbers $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ with the pyramids in Section 3 and Section 4. It is worthwhile to mention that via (2.4) Josuat-Vergès had proved that a new sequence enumerates the pyramids which are related to the perfect matchings in [4]. With a slight abuse of notation, we simply use the labels $\varepsilon(x)$ in the heap $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$ to represent the elements $x \in P$ in the heap and we use $H = (P, \leq)$ to denote the heap $H = (P, \leq, \varepsilon)$.

3. On the poset $\Pi_n^{(r)}$

The poset of the set partitions of $[rn]$ whose block sizes are divisible by r is $\Pi_n^{(r)}$ and its elements are ordered by refinement. In particular, $\Pi_n^{(1)} = \Pi_n$. Let $\Pi_{n,r}$ be the set of all the set partitions of $[rn]$ whose block sizes are exactly r. Then $\Pi_{n,r}$ is the set of minimal elements of the poset $\Pi_n^{(r)}$ and, consequently, $M(n) = |\Pi_{n,r}| = (rn)!(n!r!^n)^{-1}$. For $r \geq 2$, the sequence $\{r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})\}_{n\geq 1}$ defined by (1.2) satisfies

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})z^{rn}}{(rn)!} = -\log\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n \frac{z^{rn}}{(rn)!}\Big). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the set of permutations of $[n]$. For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, we write $\pi = a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ if $\pi(j) = a_j$. Then the descent set of permutation $\pi = a_1a_2 \dots a_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is $\operatorname{Des}\pi = \{i : 1 \leq i < n \text{ and } a_i > a_{i+1}\}$. The generalized Euler number E_{rn-1} counts the number of permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{rn-1}$ such that $\operatorname{Des}\pi = \{r, 2r, \dots, rn - r\}$. One of our main results is a new proof of the following result due to Welker [10]:

Theorem 1. $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)}) = E_{rn-1}$.

We will prove Theorem 1 by first showing that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ enumerates the pyramids of the monoid $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ in Lemma 2 and then building a bijection between the set of the pyramids counted by $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and the set of permutations of $[rn - 1]$ with descent set $\{r, 2r, \dots, rn - r\}$ in Lemma 3.

Before we proceed, we introduce some definitions and notations. We say $\pi = a_1a_2 \cdots a_{2n-1}$ is an *alternating permutation* if $a_1 < a_2 > \cdots < a_{2n-2} > a_{2n-1}$, namely, $\operatorname{Des}\pi = \{2, 4, \dots, 2n - 2\}$. It is well-known that the number of alternating permutations of $[2n - 1]$ is counted by the Euler number E_{2n-1} (also called the tangent number), whose exponential generating function is

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} E_{2n-1}\frac{z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!} = \tan(z). \tag{3.2}$$

3.1. **Connection between $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and heaps.** Recall that $\Pi_{n,r}$ is the set of set partitions of $[rn]$ where each block has size r. We say $\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}$ is a block of partition $\pi\in\Pi_{n,r}$, denoted by $\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}\in\pi$, if $1\le i_1<\cdots<i_r\le rn$. The diagram representation of $\pi\in\Pi_{n,r}$ is given as follows: We draw rn dots in a line labeled with $1,2,\ldots,rn$. For each block $\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}\in\pi$, we connect i_j and i_{j+1} by an edge for all $1\le j<r$. We say two blocks $\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}$ and $\{j_1,\ldots,j_r\}$ of π are not crossing if $i_r<j_1$ or $j_r<i_1$. Otherwise two blocks $\{i_1,\ldots,i_r\}$ and $\{j_1,\ldots,j_r\}$ are crossing. See Figure 3.1 for an example.



FIGURE 3.1. The diagram representation of π where $\pi\in\Pi_{4,3}$ and π has blocks $\{1,2,4\},\{3,5,7\},\{6,8,11\},\{9,10,12\}$.

Stanley [7] proved $r_n(\Pi_n^{(2)})=E_{2n-1}$ by using the exponential generating function of E_{2n-1} given in (3.2). More precisely, the hyperbolic tangent function $\tanh(z)$ given by $\tanh(z)=(e^z-e^{-z})(e^z+e^{-z})^{-1}$ satisfies $\tanh(z)=-i\tan(iz)$. By setting $r=2$ to (3.1) and replacing z^2 by $-z^2$, we get

$$\sum_{n\ge1} r_n(\Pi_n^{(2)})(-1)^n\frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!}=-\log(\sum_{n\ge0}\frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!}).$$

By differentiating both sides of the above equation with respect to z, we have

$$\sum_{n\ge1}(-1)^n\frac{r_n(\Pi_n^{(2)})z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}=-(\sum_{n\ge0}\frac{z^{2n}}{(2n)!})^{-1}\sum_{n\ge1}\frac{z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}=-\frac{e^z-e^{-z}}{e^z+e^{-z}}=-\tanh(z).$$

In view of (3.2) and $\tanh(z)=-i\tan(iz)$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n\ge1}(-1)^n r_n(\Pi_n^{(2)})\frac{z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!}=-\tanh(z)=\sum_{n\ge1}(-1)^n E_{2n-1}\frac{z^{2n-1}}{(2n-1)!},$$

which yields $r_n(\Pi_n^{(2)})=E_{2n-1}$. For general r, we shall show that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids related to the set $\Pi_{n,r}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_2=\{\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}:1\le i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_r\}$ be the set of blocks with a binary relation $\mathcal{R}_2$ defined by $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}\mathcal{R}_2\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_r\}$ if and only if two blocks $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}$ and $\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_r\}$ are crossing. Let $(P,\le)$ be a poset where every element is labeled by an element $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}\in\mathcal{B}_2$ such that:

(1) $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}$ and $\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_r\}$ are comparable if they are crossing.
(2) if $\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_r\}$ covers $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}$ in the poset $(P,\le)$, then they are crossing.

By definition 2.1, the poset $(P,\le)$ is a heap $H=(P,\le)\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)$. In a geometric way, we can represent each block $\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}$ by a line $i_1-i_2-\cdots-i_r$ and put $i_1-i_2-\cdots-i_r$ above $j_1-j_2-\cdots-j_r$ if $\{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_r\}\le\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}$ in the heap $H=(P,\le)$ and the line $i_1-i_2-\cdots-i_r$ cannot move downwards without touching the line $j_1-j_2-\cdots-j_r$. See Figure 3.2. Now we are in a position to establish a connection between $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ and the set of pyramids $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)$ via (2.4).

Lemma 2. *$r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids P_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)$ such that P_n^* has n elements which are exactly the blocks of a partition from $\Pi_{n,r}$ and the unique maximal element of P_n^* is the block containing rn.*

Proof. From (2.4) we know

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)}\frac{\varphi(P)}{|P|}=_{\mathrm{comm}}-\log(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)}(-1)^{|T|}\varphi(T)).\tag{3.3}$$



FIGURE 3.2. A heap H (left) in the monoid $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ and its geometric representation (right). The corresponding word in the monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ is $\varphi(H) = x_{\{2,5\}}x_{\{7,8\}}x_{\{1,3\}}x_{\{4,6\}}x_{\{3,4\}}x_{\{4,6\}}$.

We now consider the Cartier-Foata monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be a sequence of variables such that $a_i^2 = 0$ for every i and $a_i a_j = a_j a_i$ for every i, j. Furthermore let $f : \mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)]] \to \mathbb{Z}[[a_1, a_2, \ldots]]$ be a ring homomorphism such that $f(x_{\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{r} a_{i_j}$ and $f(1) = 1$. In other words, the commutative ring $\mathbb{Z}[[a_1, a_2, \ldots]]$ is a commutative extension of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)]]$. We apply f on both sides of (3.3) and obtain

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} \frac{f(\varphi(P))}{|P|} = -\log\Big(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} (-1)^{|T|} f(\varphi(T))\Big). \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ be the set of trivial heaps of size n contained in the set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ and suppose that $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ is a trivial heap having n pieces $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}, \{i_{r+1}, \ldots, i_{2r}\}, \ldots, \{i_{rn-r+1}, \ldots, i_{rn}\}$ such that $i_1 < i_{r+1} < \cdots < i_{rn-r+1}$. Then $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}$ must be a strictly increasing sequence. We use $I_{T_n} = i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}$ to denote this strictly increasing sequence. Thus, the map $T_n \mapsto I_{T_n}$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ and the set of strictly increasing sequences of length rn. This yields

$$\sum_{T_n\in\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} (-1)^n f(\varphi(T_n)) = \sum_{I_{T_n}} (-1)^n \prod_{j=1}^{rn} a_{i_j} = (-1)^n \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots)^{rn}}{(rn)!}$$

where the second summation runs over all the strictly increasing sequences I_{T_n} of length rn and the last equation holds because $a_i^2 = 0$ for every i. It follows immediately that the right hand side of (3.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} -\log\Big(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} (-1)^{|T|} f(\varphi(T))\Big) &= -\log\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{T_n\in\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} (-1)^n f(\varphi(T_n))\Big) \\ &= -\log\Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots)^{rn}}{(rn)!}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ be the set of pyramids of size n contained in the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$. Suppose that $P_n \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ is a pyramid of size n such that $f(\varphi(P_n)) \neq 0$, then the elements of the poset P_n are exactly the blocks of a set partition of rn integers where every block has size r, and every block is crossing with at least one other block of this set partition. We continue using I_{T_n} to represent any strictly increasing sequence $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}$. A strictly increasing sequence I_{T_n} uniquely corresponds with a set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}\}$. For a given set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}\}$, let $p_{n,r}$ count the number of pyramids P_n such that the elements of P_n are exactly the blocks of a set partition of $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}\}$ where each block has size r. Then we have

$$\sum_{P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} f(\varphi(P_n)) = \sum_{I_{T_n}} p_{n,r} \prod_{j=1}^{rn} a_{i_j} = \sum_{I_{T_n}} p_{n,r} \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + \cdots)^{rn}}{(rn)!}.$$

Note that the number $p_{n,r}$ is independent of the choice of the set $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{rn}\}$. Without loss of generality, we choose the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, rn\}$. It turns out that the left hand side of (3.4) is

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} \frac{f(\varphi(P))}{|P|} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{R}_2)} \frac{f(\varphi(P_n))}{n} = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{p_{n,r}}{n} \frac{(a_1+a_2+\cdots)^{rn}}{(rn)!}$$

In view of (3.1), we get $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)}) = n^{-1}p_{n,r}$. Since every pyramid in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ has n elements, it follows that $r_n(\Pi_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids P_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ such that the unique maximal element of P_n^* is the block containing rn and P_n^* has n elements which are exactly the blocks of a partition in $\Pi_{n,r}$. The proof is complete. □

For example, $r_2(\Pi_2^{(2)}) = 2$ counts the pyramid $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$ such that $\{1, 3\} \leq \{2, 4\}$ and the pyramid $\{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ such that $\{2, 3\} \leq \{1, 4\}$.

Lemma 3. *There is a bijection between the set of permutations of $[rn-1]$ with descent set $\{r, 2r, \ldots, rn-r\}$ and the set of pyramids P_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ such that P_n^* has n elements which are exactly the blocks of a partition in $\Pi_{n,r}$ and the unique maximal element of P_n^* is the block containing rn.*

Proof. Let $\pi = a_1a_2\cdots a_{rn-1}$ be a permutation of $[rn-1]$ such that $\operatorname{Des}\pi = \{r, 2r, \ldots, rn-r\}$. We consider a partition $\sigma \in \Pi_{n,r}$ whose blocks are exactly

$$\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}, \{a_{r+1}, \ldots, a_{2r}\}, \cdots, \{a_{rn-r+1}, \ldots, a_{rn-1}, rn\},$$

and we now prove that we can choose them in such a way that they are the elements of a pyramid P_n^*. It remains to define an order $\leq$ of the elements in the pyramid P_n^*. The correspondence $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$ is defined inductively. Let m be the minimal integer such that $m+1, m+2, \ldots, rn$ are in the same block. We notice that m and rn are in two crossing blocks of σ and we consider the block that contains m. Suppose that $\{a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_1+r-1}\}$ is the block of σ such that $a_{i_1+r-1} = m$ and let $\sigma_1 = \{\{a_k, \ldots, a_{r+k-1}\} : k \leq i_1\}$ be a subset of the blocks of σ. Let $\sigma_2 = \{\{a_k, \ldots, a_{r+k-1}\} : k > i_1\}$ be the set of remaining blocks. Then we can write $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2$ and we use $|\sigma_i|$ to denote the number of blocks in the partition σ_i, for $i = 1, 2$. By induction, $\mathsf{g}(\sigma_i)$ is a pyramid such that the unique maximal element is the block containing m if $i = 1$, or the block containing rn if $i = 2$, and $\mathsf{g}(\sigma_i)$ has $|\sigma_i|$ elements which are exactly the blocks of σ_i, for $i = 1, 2$. For every element x in the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma_1)$, suppose that y_x is one of the minimal elements in the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma_2)$ that is crossing with x but not crossing with any z such that $x \leq z$ in the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma_1)$, then the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$ is obtained by letting y_x cover x for every x and y_x. In particular, $\{a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_1+r-1}\} \leq \{a_{rn-r+1}, \ldots, a_{rn-1}, rn\}$ in the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$, which implies that the unique maximal element of $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$ is the block containing rn. In fact, this provides an inductive process to successively construct the pyramid $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$ whose unique maximal element is the block of σ containing rn and $\mathsf{g}(\sigma)$ has n elements that are exactly the blocks of σ.

Conversely, consider a pyramid P_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{R}_2)$ such that P_n^* has n elements which are exactly the blocks of a partition $\sigma \in \Pi_{n,r}$ and the unique maximal element is $\{a_{rn-r+1}, \ldots, a_{rn-1}, rn\}$. We consider the pyramid P_1 that is induced by the block that contains m, i.e., P_1 contains all the elements x such that $x \leq \{a_{i_1}, \ldots, a_{i_1+r-1}\}$ in the pyramid P_n^* where $a_{i_1+r-1} = m$. Let P_2 be the pyramid of remaining elements from P_n^*. Then $P_i = \mathsf{g}(\sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ which implies the above inductive process is bijective and therefore the proof is complete. □

See Figure 3.3 for an example of this bijection g.

4. On the poset $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$

An r-partition of $[n]$ is a set

$$\pi = \{(B_{11}, \cdots, B_{1r}), (B_{21}, \cdots, B_{2r}), \cdots, (B_{k1}, \cdots, B_{kr})\}$$

satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) for each $j \in [r]$, the set $\pi_j = \{B_{1j}, B_{2j}, \cdots, B_{kj}\}$ forms a partition of S (into k blocks),
(2) for fixed i, $|B_{i1}| = |B_{i2}| = \cdots = |B_{ir}|$.



FIGURE 3.3. The pyramid $\mathbf{g}(\sigma)$ where $\pi = 6\,8\,12\,9\,13\,14\,1\,2\,5\,3\,10\,11\,4\,7 \in \mathfrak{S}_{14}$ and σ is a partition with blocks $\{6,8,12\}$, $\{9,13,14\}$, $\{1,2,5\}$, $\{3,10,11\}$, $\{4,7,15\}$.

The set of all the r-partitions of set $[n]$, denoted by $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$, has a partial ordering by refinement. Namely, let

$$\pi = \{(B_{11}, \cdots, B_{1r}), (B_{21}, \cdots, B_{2r}), \cdots, (B_{k1}, \cdots, B_{kr})\}$$
$$\sigma = \{(A_{11}, \cdots, A_{1r}), (A_{21}, \cdots, A_{2r}), \cdots, (A_{\ell 1}, \cdots, A_{\ell r})\}$$

be two r-partitions of $[n]$ where we set for $1 \le j \le r$,

$$\pi_j = \{B_{1j}, B_{2j}, \cdots, B_{kj}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_j = \{A_{1j}, A_{2j}, \cdots, A_{\ell j}\}.$$

Then $\pi \le \sigma$ if for every $1 \le j \le r$, we have $\pi_j \le \sigma_j$, i.e., every block of partition π_j is contained in a block of partition σ_j. For instance,

$$\pi = \{(\{1\},\{2\}),(\{2\},\{3\}),(\{3\},\{1\})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = \{(\{1,2\},\{2,3\}),(\{3\},\{1\})\}$$

are two 2-partitions of [3]. Then we have $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\}\}$, $\sigma_1 = \{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}$, $\sigma_2 = \{\{2,3\},\{1\}\}$ and $\pi_1 \le \sigma_1$, $\pi_2 \le \sigma_2$ by refinement. That implies that $\pi \le \sigma$ in the poset $\mathsf{Q}_3^{(2)}$. By definition, every minimal element of $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$ can be identified as an $(r-1)$-tuple $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{r-1})$ of permutations $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ by

$$\rho = \{(\{1\},\{\sigma_1(1)\},\ldots,\{\sigma_{r-1}(1)\}),\ldots,(\{n\},\{\sigma_1(n)\},\ldots,\{\sigma_{r-1}(n)\})\}. \tag{4.1}$$

As an immediate consequence, the number of minimal elements of $\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}$ is equal to the number of ordered permutations of $[n]$, i.e., $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_{r-1})$ where $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Let $\mathsf{Q}_{n,r}$ be the set of $(r-1)$-tuple $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_{r-1})$ of permutations $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, it follows that $M(n) = |\mathsf{Q}_{n,r}| = n!^{r-1}$ and that the sequence $\{r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})\}_{n\ge 1}$ where $r \ge 2$ defined by (1.2) satisfies

$$\sum_{n\ge 1} \frac{r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)}) z^n}{n!^r} = -\log\Big(\sum_{n\ge 0} (-1)^n \frac{z^n}{n!^r}\Big). \tag{4.2}$$

For any $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{r-1}) \in \mathsf{Q}_{n,r}$, the diagram representation of σ is described as follows: We draw rn dots in r rows with each row having n dots labeled by $1, 2, \ldots, n$, and, for every i, m, we connect m from the i-th row with $\sigma_i(m)$ from the $(i+1)$-th row. For every $m \in [n]$, we call the sequence

$$(m, \sigma_1(m), \sigma_2\sigma_1(m), \ldots, \sigma_{r-1}\cdots\sigma_2\sigma_1(m))$$

a *path* of σ that starts from m. See Figure 4.1. Clearly every $\sigma \in \mathsf{Q}_{n,r}$ has n paths. Two paths $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ and $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r)$ are not crossing if and only if $a_k < b_k$ for all k. Otherwise two paths $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$ and $(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_r)$ are crossing. Let $\mathcal{B}_3 = \{(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r) : i_j \ge 1, 1 \le j \le r\}$ be the set of paths of length $r-1$ with a symmetric and reflexive binary relation $\mathcal{R}_3$ defined by: $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)\mathcal{R}_3(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ if and only if two paths $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ are crossing. Let $(R, \le)$ be a poset where each element is labeled by an element $(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \in \mathcal{B}_3$ such that:

(1) $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ are comparable if $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ are crossing.
(2) if $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ covers $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ in the poset $(R, \le)$, then they are crossing.



FIGURE 4.1. The diagram representation of $\sigma = (23145, 34125)$ and σ has paths $(1,2,4)$, $(2,3,1)$, $(3,1,3)$, $(4,4,2)$ and $(5,5,5)$.

By definition 2.1, the poset $(R, \leq)$ is a heap $H = (R, \leq) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$. In a geometric way, we put the path $(j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ on top of the path $(i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ if $(i_1, \ldots, i_r) \leq (j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ in the heap $H = (R, \leq)$. See Figure 4.2.



FIGURE 4.2. A heap H (left) in the monoid $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ and its geometric representation (right). The corresponding word in the monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ is $\varphi(H) = x_{(1,1)}x_{(1,3)}x_{(2,2)}x_{(3,3)}x_{(3,1)}x_{(1,2)}x_{(2,3)}$.

Furthermore, *non-ambiguous trees* were introduced by Aval *et al.* [1] because of their connection to the tree-like tableaux. The non-ambiguous trees are embedded in a 2-dimensional $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ grid. Let each vertex v have coordinates $x(v) = (x_1(v), x_2(v))$. Then a 2-dimensional non-ambiguous tree of size n is a set A of n points $(x_1(v), x_2(v)) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that:

(1) $(0,0) \in A$; we call this point the root of A.
(2) for a given non-root point $p \in A$, there exists one point $q \in A$ such that $x_2(q) < x_2(p)$ and $x_1(q) = x_1(p)$ or one point $s \in A$ such that $x_1(s) < x_1(p)$ and $x_2(s) = x_2(p)$ (but not both).
(3) there is no empty line between two given points: if there exists a point $p \in A$ such that $x_1(p) = x$ (resp. $x_2(p) = y$), then for every $x' < x$ (resp. $y' < y$) there exists $q \in A$ such that $x_1(q) = x'$ (resp. $x_2(q) = y'$).

A *complete non-ambiguous tree* is a non-ambiguous tree whose vertices have either 0 or 2 children. The non-ambiguous tree A has a unique tree structure since, except for the root, every point $p \in A$ has a unique parent, which is the nearest point q or s in condition (2). In other words, the set A of points determines the tree structure. Let T_A be the unique underlying tree associated to the vertex set A. For instance, there are four complete non-ambiguous trees of size 5 whose underlying trees are given here.



One of our main results is stated as follows:

Theorem 4. *$r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids Q_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ such that Q_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the unique maximal element of Q_n^* is the path starting from 1. In particular, $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})$ counts the number of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$.*

We divide Theorem 4 into Lemma 5 and Lemma 6. We shall prove Lemma 5 again by using the connection between $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ and heaps and prove Lemma 6 by a bijection.

4.1. **Connection between $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ and heaps.** Now we first establish a connection between $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ and the set of pyramids $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ via (2.4).

Lemma 5. *$r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids Q_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ such that Q_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the unique maximal element of Q_n^* is the path starting from 1.*

Proof. From (2.4) we know

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}\frac{\varphi(P)}{|P|}=_{\mathrm{comm}}-\log(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}(-1)^{|T|}\varphi(T)). \tag{4.3}$$

We now consider the Cartier-Foata monoid $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$. Let $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i\geq 1,j\geq 1}$ be a sequence of variables such that $a_{i,j}^2=0$ for every i,j and $a_{i,j}a_{k,\ell}=a_{k,\ell}a_{i,j}$ for every i,j,k,ℓ. Furthermore let $h:\mathbb{Z}[[\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)]]\to\mathbb{Z}[[a_{1,1},a_{1,2},\ldots]]$ be a ring homomorphism such that $h(x_{(i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r)})=\prod_{j=1}^r a_{i_j,j}$ and $h(1)=1$. We apply h on both sides of (4.3) and obtain

$$\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}\frac{h(\varphi(P))}{|P|}=-\log(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}(-1)^{|T|}h(\varphi(T))). \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ be the set of trivial heaps of size n contained in the set $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ and suppose that $T_n\in\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ is a trivial heap having n paths $(i_1,\ldots,i_r),(i_{r+1},\ldots,i_{2r}),\ldots,(i_{rn-r+1},\ldots,i_{rn})$ such that $i_1<i_{r+1}<\cdots<i_{rn-r+1}$. Then the sequence $I_{T_n,j}=i_j,i_{r+j},\ldots,i_{nr-r+j}$ is a strictly increasing sequence for every j, $1\leq j\leq r$. It follows that $T_n\mapsto I_{T_n}^*$ where $I_{T_n}^*=(I_{T_n,1},\ldots,I_{T_n,r})$ and $I_{T_n,j}=i_j,i_{r+j},\ldots,i_{nr-r+j}$ is a bijection between the set of trivial heaps of size n and the set of r-tuples of strictly increasing sequences of length n. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{T_n\in\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}(-1)^n h(\varphi(T_n))&=\sum_{I_{T_n}^*}(-1)^n\prod_{m=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{r}a_{i_{rm-r+j},j}\\&=(-1)^n\prod_{j=1}^{r}\frac{(a_{1,j}+a_{2,j}+\cdots)^n}{n!}=\frac{(-1)^n}{n!^r}(\prod_{j=1}^{r}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_{i,j}))^n\end{aligned}$$

where the second summation runs over all the r-tuples $I_{T_n}^*$ of strictly increasing sequences of length n and the last two equations hold because $a_{i,j}^2=0$ for every i,j. It follows immediately that the right hand side of (4.4) is

$$\begin{aligned}-\log(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}(-1)^{|T|}h(\varphi(T)))&=-\log(\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{T_n\in\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}(-1)^n h(\varphi(T_n)))\\&=-\log(\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!^r}(\prod_{j=1}^{r}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_{i,j}))^n).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ be the set of pyramids of size n contained in the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$. Suppose that $P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ is a pyramid of size n such that $h(\varphi(P_n))\neq 0$, then the elements of the poset P_n are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r-1})$ of bijections such that σ_j is a bijection between two sets of n integers for every j, and every path of σ is crossing with at least one other path of σ. We continue using $I_{T_n}^*=(I_{T_n,1},\ldots,I_{T_n,r})$ to represent any r-tuple of strictly increasing sequences of length n. A strictly increasing sequence $I_{T_n,j}=i_j,i_{j+r},\ldots,i_{nr-r+j}$ uniquely corresponds with a set $S_{T_n,j}=\{i_j,i_{j+r},\ldots,i_{nr-r+j}\}$. For a given r-tuple $I_{T_n}^*$ of strictly

increasing sequences, let $\bar{p}_{n,r}$ count the number of pyramids P_n such that the elements of P_n are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{r-1})$ of bijections such that σ_j is a bijection between the set $S_{T_n,j}$ and $S_{T_n,j+1}$ for every j. Then we have

$$\sum_{P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)} h(\varphi(P_n))=\sum_{I^*_{T_n}}\bar{p}_{n,r}\prod_{m=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{r}a_{i_{rm-r+j},j}$$

Note that the number $\bar{p}_{n,r}$ is independent of the choice of the r-tuple $I^*_{T_n}$ of strictly increasing sequences and we choose the set $S_{T_n,j}=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ for every j. It turns out the left hand side of (4.4) is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}\frac{h(\varphi(P))}{|P|}&=\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{P_n\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)}\frac{h(\varphi(P_n))}{n}=\sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{I^*_{T_n}}\frac{\bar{p}_{n,r}}{n}\prod_{m=1}^{n}\prod_{j=1}^{r}a_{i_{rm-r+j},j}\\&=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\bar{p}_{n,r}}{n}\frac{1}{n!^r}(\prod_{j=1}^{r}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}a_{i,j}))^n.\end{aligned}$$

In view of (4.2), we conclude that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})=n^{-1}\bar{p}_{n,r}$. Since every pyramid in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ has n elements, it follows that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(r)})$ counts the number of pyramids Q_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ such that Q_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the maximal element of Q_n^* is the path starting at 1. The proof is complete. □

For example, $r_2(\mathsf{Q}_2^{(3)})=3$ counts the pyramid $\{(1,2,1),(2,1,2)\}$ such that $(2,1,2)\leq(1,2,1)$, the pyramid $\{(1,2,2),(2,1,1)\}$ such that $(2,1,1)\leq(1,2,2)$, and the pyramid $\{(1,1,2),(2,2,1)\}$ such that $(2,2,1)\leq(1,1,2)$.

Let b_n be the number of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$, Aval *et al.* proved the integers b_n satisfy (4.2) when $r=2$. This implies that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})=b_n$. We will prove that $r_n(\mathsf{Q}_n^{(2)})=b_n$ by a bijection in Lemma 6.

Lemma 6. *There is a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous trees of size $2n-1$ and the set of pyramids Q_n^* in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_3,\mathcal{R}_3)$ such that Q_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of a permutation $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$ and the unique maximal element of Q_n^* is the path $(1,\sigma(1))$.*

Proof. Given a complete non-ambiguous tree A of size $2n-1$, we first do a coordinate translation. Let $A\mapsto\mu(A)$ be a bijection such that for any $v\in A$ having coordinate $x(v)=(x_1(v),x_2(v))$, we set $\mu(A)=\{(x_1(v)+1,x_2(v)+1):v\in A\}$. Recall that T_A is the unique underlying tree associated to A. Thus via bijection μ, we shift the tree T_A rooted at $(0,0)$ to the tree $T_{\mu(A)}$ rooted at $(1,1)$. Next we consider the coordinates of the leaves of $T_{\mu(A)}$. From the definition of complete non-ambiguous tree, we know the coordinates of the leaves of $T_{\mu(A)}$ must be

$$(1,\sigma(1)),(2,\sigma(2))\cdots,(n,\sigma(n)),$$

where $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$ and we now prove that we can choose them in such a way that they are the elements of a pyramid Q_n^*. It remains to define an order $\leq$ of the elements in the pyramid Q_n^*.

Let B be any subset of A such that the underlying tree $T_{\mu(B)}$ is a subtree of $T_{\mu(A)}$. The correspondence $\mathsf{q}(B)$ is defined inductively. Suppose B is rooted at (a,b) and let B_1,B_2 be two subsets of B such that $T_{\mu(B_1)}$ is the left subtree and $T_{\mu(B_2)}$ is the right subtree of $T_{\mu(B)}$. Then for $i=1,2$, the leaves of $T_{\mu(B_i)}$ can be identified as a permutation $\bar{\sigma}_i$ which is σ restricted to a subset of $[n]$. Clearly $(a,\sigma(a))\in B_1$, $(\sigma^{-1}(b),b)\in B_2$ and the two paths $(a,\sigma(a)),(\sigma^{-1}(b),b)$ are crossing. By induction, $\mathsf{q}(B_1)$ is a pyramid such that the unique maximal element is $(a,\sigma(a))$, $\mathsf{q}(B_2)$ is a pyramid such that the unique maximal element is $(\sigma^{-1}(b),b)$ and all the elements of $\mathsf{q}(B_i)$ are exactly the paths of $\bar{\sigma}_i$ for $i=1,2$. We use $|\bar{\sigma}_i|$ to denote the number of paths in $\bar{\sigma}_i$ for $i=1,2$.

If $T_{\mu(B)}$ is the left subtree of its parent in $T_{\mu(A)}$ or $B=A$, then, for every element x in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_2)$, suppose that y_x is one of the minimal elements in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_1)$ that is crossing with x but not crossing with any z such that $x\leq z$ in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_2)$. Thus the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B)$

is obtained by letting y_x cover x for every x and y_x. In particular, $(\sigma^{-1}(b), b) \leq (a, \sigma(a))$ holds in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B)$ which implies that the unique maximal element of $\mathsf{q}(B)$ is $(a, \sigma(a))$.

If $T_{\mu(B)}$ is the right subtree of its parent in $T_{\mu(A)}$, then, for every path y in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_1)$, suppose x_y is one of the minimal elements in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_2)$ that is crossing with y but not crossing with any z such that $y \leq z$ in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_1)$. Thus, the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B)$ is obtained by letting x_y cover y for every y and x_y. In particular, $(a, \sigma(a)) \leq (\sigma^{-1}(b), b)$ holds in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B)$ which implies that the unique maximal element of $\mathsf{q}(B)$ is $(\sigma^{-1}(b), b)$.

In fact, this gives us an inductive process to successively construct the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(A)$ whose unique maximal element is $(1, \sigma(1))$ and $\mathsf{q}(A)$ has n elements which are exactly the paths of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. Conversely, without loss of generality, consider a pyramid Q_n^* such that the elements of Q_n^* are exactly the paths of the permutations $\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2$ and the unique maximal element is $(a, \sigma(a))$. Let d be the minimal integer such that $d_1 \geq d$ for all the elements $(c_1, d_1) \in Q_n^*$, then $d = b$ and we consider the pyramid Q_2 that is induced by the element $(\sigma^{-1}(b), b)$, i.e., Q_2 contains all the elements x such that $x \leq (\sigma^{-1}(b), b)$ in the pyramid Q_n^*. Let Q_1 be the pyramid of remaining elements from Q_n^*. Then $Q_i = \mathsf{q}(B_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, which implies the above inductive process is bijective and therefore the proof is complete. □

See Figure 4.3 for an example.



FIGURE 4.3. A complete non-ambiguous tree of size 9 (left) and the corresponding pyramid Q_5^* whose unique maximal element is the path $(1, 5)$ (right). The pyramid Q_5^* has 5 elements which are exactly the paths of the permutation $\sigma = 53412$.

4.2. **From heaps to pairs of permutations.** A non-ambiguous forest introduced by Aval *et al.* [1] is a set of points $x(v) = (x_1(v), x_2(v)) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) for a given non-root point $p \in A$, the set $\{s \in A : x_1(s) < x_1(p), x_2(s) = x_2(p)\} \cup \{q \in A : x_2(q) < x_2(p), x_1(q) = x_1(p)\}$ has at most 1 element.
(2) there is no empty line between two given points: if there exists a point $p \in A$ such that $x_1(p) = x$ (resp. $x_2(p) = y$), then for every $x' < x$ (resp. $y' < y$) there exists $q \in A$ such that $x_1(q) = x'$ (resp. $x_2(q) = y'$).

In the same way, a non-ambiguous forest has an underlying binary forest structure. A complete non-ambiguous forest is a non-ambiguous forest such that all trees in the underlying binary forest are complete. For instance, there are 4 complete non-ambiguous forests that contain leaves $(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 0)$, which are



We denote by $\tau(n)$ the number of complete non-ambiguous forests with n leaves. Then Aval *et al.* [1] proved that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \tau(n) \frac{x^n}{n!^2} = \Big(\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!^2}\Big)^{-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

In addition, Carlitz *et al.* [2] proved the same identity by enumerating the pairs of permutations with no common rise. Let $\omega(n)$ count the number of pairs (π, ξ) of permutations of $[n]$ such that any two consecutive entries cannot be rising both in π and ξ, i.e., there is no integer i such that $\pi(i) < \pi(i+1)$ and $\xi(i) < \xi(i+1)$. Carlitz *et al.* [2] showed that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \omega(n) \frac{x^n}{n!^2} = (\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!^2})^{-1}.$$

This implies that $\tau(n) = \omega(n)$ and Aval *et al.* asked for a bijective proof of $\tau(n) = \omega(n)$. Here we will give a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous forests with n leaves and the set of pairs (π, ξ) of permutations $\pi, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with no common rise by using heaps as intermediate objects.

Theorem 7. *There is a bijection between the set of complete non-ambiguous forests with n leaves and the set of pairs (π, ξ) of permutations of $[n]$ with no common rise.*

Proof. We first define the number $h_{n,r}$ by the equation

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} h_{n,r} \frac{x^n}{n!^r} = (\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n!^r})^{-1}. \tag{4.6}$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 5, via (2.2) we can prove that $h_{n,r}$ counts the number of heaps H_n^* in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ such that H_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of an $(r-1)$-tuple of permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. We will first show that $\tau(n) = h_{n,2}$ by a bijection.

Given a complete non-ambiguous forest A with n leaves, let $v \in A$ have coordinates $(x_1(v), x_2(v))$ and we again obtain the set $\mu(A) = \{(x_1(v)+1, x_2(v)+1) : v \in A\}$ via the bijection μ given in Lemma 6. Recall that $T_{\mu(A)}$ is the underlying forest associated with the vertex set $\mu(A)$. From the definition we know the coordinates of the leaves of $T_{\mu(A)}$ must be

$$(1, \sigma(1)), (2, \sigma(2)), \ldots, (n, \sigma(n)),$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and we now prove that we can choose them in such a way that they are the elements of a heap H_n^*. It remains to define the order $\leq$ of the elements of the heap H_n^*.

The correspondence $\mathsf{s}(A)$ is defined as follows. Suppose the forest $T_{\mu(A)}$ contains exactly k complete non-ambiguous trees $T_{\mu(B_1)}, T_{\mu(B_2)}, \ldots, T_{\mu(B_k)}$ that are associated to the vertex sets $\mu(B_1), \mu(B_2), \ldots, \mu(B_k)$, respectively, and the leaves of every $T_{\mu(B_i)}$ can be identified as a permutation $\bar{\sigma}_i$ which is σ restricted to a subset of $[n]$. Furthermore, suppose the leftmost leaf of $T_{\mu(B_i)}$ is (a_i, b_i) for $i \leq k$ and $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k$ is strictly increasing. Then by applying the bijection q given in Lemma 6 on every B_i, we have the corresponding pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_i)$ such that the unique maximal element is (a_i, b_i) and all the elements of $\mathsf{q}(B_i)$ are exactly the paths of a permutation $\bar{\sigma}_i$. For every i, j such that $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $j > i$, and every element x in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_i)$, suppose y_x is one of the minimal elements in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_j)$ that is crossing with x but not crossing with any z such that $x \leq z$ in the pyramid $\mathsf{q}(B_i)$. Then the heap $\mathsf{s}(A)$ is obtained by letting y_x cover x for every x and y_x.

We next prove that the map $A \mapsto \mathsf{s}(A)$ is a bijection by showing its inverse map. For a given heap H_n^* in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ such that H_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of a permutation σ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$, namely the elements of H_n^* are $(1, \sigma(1)), \ldots, (n, \sigma(n))$, we consider the pyramid P_1 induced by the element $(1, \sigma(1))$, i.e., P_1 contains all the elements y in H_n^* such that $y \leq (1, \sigma(1))$. From the remaining heap $H_n^* - P_1$, we choose an element (c_1, d_1) such that c_1 is minimal of all the elements contained in $H_n^* - P_1$. Let P_2 be a pyramid induced by the element (c_1, d_1), i.e., P_2 contains all the elements y in $H_n^* - P_1$ such that $y \leq (c_1, d_1)$. We continue this process until no element is left and we decompose H_n^* into a sequence of pyramids $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$ whose unique maximal elements are respectively $(1, \sigma(1)), (c_1, d_1), \ldots, (c_{k-1}, d_{k-1})$ such that $1, c_1, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ is strictly increasing. In fact, $P_i = \mathsf{q}(B_i)$. For any two crossing paths $(i, m_i), (j, m_j)$ such that $(i, m_i) \in P_s$ and $(j, m_j) \in P_t$, we have $(i, m_i) \leq (j, m_j)$ if $s < t$. By applying the inverse bijection q^{-1} given in Lemma 6 on the pyramids $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$, we retrieve a forest of binary trees $T_{\mu(B_1)}, T_{\mu(B_2)}, \ldots, T_{\mu(B_k)}$ whose vertex set is a complete non-ambiguous forest, from which it follows that $A \mapsto \mathsf{s}(A)$ is a bijection.

See Figure 4.4 for an example of the bijection s.



FIGURE 4.4. A complete non-ambiguous forest with 5 leaves (left) and its corresponding heap H_5^* whose elements are exactly the paths of the permutation $\sigma = 53412$ (right). Furthermore, the heap H_5^* uniquely corresponds with the pair $(54132, 21543)$ of permutations with no common rise.

In the next step, we will show that $h_{n,2} = \omega(n)$ by constructing a bijection between the set of pairs (π, ξ) of permutations of $[n]$ with no common rise and the set of heaps H_n^* in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ such that H_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

For a given pair (π, ξ) of permutations $\pi, \xi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ without common rise, suppose $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ and $\xi = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$. Then, we choose a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfying $\sigma(a_i) = b_i$ for every i. Let $(a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_n, b_n)$ be the elements of the corresponding heap H_n^*, then it remains to define the order $\leq$ in the heap H_n^*. For every two crossing paths $(a_i, b_i), (a_j, b_j)$, we set $(a_j, b_j) < (a_i, b_i)$ if $i < j$. Thus, we have given the map $(\pi, \xi) \mapsto H_n^*$.

We next prove this map is a bijection by showing its inverse map. Given a heap H_n^* in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{B}_3, \mathcal{R}_3)$ such that H_n^* has n elements which are exactly the paths of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, for any two paths $(a_i, b_i), (a_j, b_j)$, we define $(a_i, b_i) \prec (a_j, b_j)$ if $(a_j, b_j) < (a_i, b_i)$ in the heap H_n^*. Otherwise, we define $(a_j, b_j) \prec (a_i, b_i)$ if $a_i < a_j$ and (a_i, b_i), (a_j, b_j) are incomparable in the heap H_n^*. In this way, we give a total order $\prec$ of the paths (a_i, b_i) for all i which allows us to rewrite the elements $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \ldots, (a_n, b_n)$ by this linear order $\prec$. That is, suppose the sequence $(a_{i_1}, b_{i_1}), (a_{i_2}, b_{i_2}), \ldots, (a_{i_n}, b_{i_n})$ satisfies $(a_{i_1}, b_{i_1}) \prec (a_{i_2}, b_{i_2}) \prec \cdots \prec (a_{i_n}, b_{i_n})$, then we choose $\pi = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_n} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\xi = b_{i_1} b_{i_2} \cdots b_{i_n} \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. There is no common rise of the pair (π, ξ). If there exists i_j such that $a_{i_j} < a_{i_{j+1}}$ and $b_{i_j} < b_{i_{j+1}}$, then the paths (a_{i_j}, b_{i_j}) and $(a_{i_{j+1}}, b_{i_{j+1}})$ are not crossing, so they have no cover relation in the heap H_n^*. It follows that (a_{i_j}, b_{i_j}) and $(a_{i_{j+1}}, b_{i_{j+1}})$ are incomparable in the heap H_n^* and, in view of $a_{i_j} < a_{i_{j+1}}$, we have $(a_{i_{j+1}}, b_{i_{j+1}}) \prec (a_{i_j}, b_{i_j})$ which contradicts the assumption that $(a_{i_j}, b_{i_j}) \prec (a_{i_{j+1}}, b_{i_{j+1}})$. Consequently, the pair (π, ξ) has no common rise and the map $(\pi, \xi) \mapsto H_n^*$ is a bijection. □

See Figure 4.4 for an example of this bijection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks two anonymous reviewers for their very helpful suggestions and comments. The author also thanks Jiang Zeng, Zhicong Lin, René Ciak and Laura Silverstein for related discussions and would like to give special thanks to the joint seminar Arbeitsgemeinschaft Diskrete Mathematik for their valuable feedback. This work was done during my stay in the AG Algorithm and Complexity, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, Germany. The author would like to thank Markus Nebel, Sebastian Wild and Raphael Reitzig for their kind help and support.

REFERENCES

[1] J-C. Aval, A. Boussicault, M. Bouvel and M. Silimbani, Combinatorics of non-ambiguous trees, Adv. Appl. Math. Vol. 56, 78-108 (2014).
[2] L. Carlitz, R. Scoville and T. Vaughan, Enumeration of pairs of permutations and sequences, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 80(5), 881-884 (1974).
[3] R. Ehrenborg and M.A. Readdy, Exponential Dowling structures, European J. Combin. Vol. 30(1), 311-326 (2009).
[4] M. Josuat-Vergès, Cumulants of the q-semicircular law, Tutte polynomials, and the Heaps, Can. J. Math. Vol. 65, No. 4, 863-878 (2013).
[5] C. Kratthenthaler, The theory of heaps and the Cartier-Foata monoid, www.emis.de/journals/SLC/books/heaps.ps.

[6] R. Stanley, Exponential structures, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 59, 73-82 (1978).
[7] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics I and II, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
[8] G.X. Viennot, Heaps of pieces, I: Basic definitions and combinatorial lemmas, Combinatoire énumérative vol. 1234 of the series lecture notes in Mathematics, 321-350 (2006).
[9] X. Viennot, Lecture on heaps of pieces, (with interactions in mathematics and physics), www.xaviervienno t.org/courses/Talca_2013_14.html.
[10] V. Welker, Direct sum decompositions of matriods and exponential structures, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B*, Vol. 63, 222-244 (1995).

E-mail address: jin@cs.uni-kl.de;yu.jin@tuwien.ac.at

Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, TU Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10, 1040 Vienna, Austria